TGN: Temporal Graph Networks for Dynamic Graphs

Emanuele Rossi, Twitter

In collaboration with Ben Chamberlain, Fabrizio Frasca, Davide Eynard, Federico Monti and Michael Bronstein

Background

Graph Neural Networks are a Hot Topic in ML!



ICLR 2020 submissions keyword statistics

Plot: Pau Rodríguez López

Graphs are everywhere





Social Networks



Molecules

Functional Networks



Interaction Networks

From Images to Graphs



- Constant number of neighbors
- Fixed ordering of neighbors



- Different number of neighbors
- No ordering of neighbors

Graph Neural Networks

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_{ij} &= \mathrm{msg}(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{e}_{ij}), \ \mathbf{z}_i &= \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} h(\mathbf{m}_{ij}, \mathbf{v}_i) \end{aligned}$$



Gilmer et al. 2017

Problem: Many Graphs are Dynamic



Dynamic Graphs

- Discrete-time dynamic graphs: sequence of snapshots
- Continuous-time dynamic graphs: sequence of timed-events



Discrete-time dynamic graphs



Learning on Dynamic Graphs

$t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3 \leq t_4 \leq t_5 \leq t_6 \leq t_7$

- Data is a **sequence of ordered timed events** (eg. edge addition)
- An epoch goes through the events in chronological order
- Model is **trained self-supervised**, predicting future edges using all information from previous edges



Model

TGN: Temporal Graph Networks

- Model for dynamic graphs is an encoder-decoder pair
- TGN is an encoder model which is able to generate **temporal node embeddings** $z_i(t) = f(i, t)$ for any node *i* and time *t*. Decoder is task-dependent, eg. MLP from two node embeddings to edge probability
- **General theoretical framework**, which consists of **5 different modules**
- Generalizes existing models such as *Jodie*[1] and *TGAT*[2]



[1]Kumar et al. 2019, [2]Xu et al. 2019

Modules: Memory

- State (vector) for each node the model has seen so far
- Compressed representation of all past interactions of a node
- Analogous to RNN hidden state, for one for each node
- Not a parameter → updated also at test time
- Initialized at 0, it can handle new nodes (inductive)



Memory

Modules: Message Function

- **Given an interaction** (*i*, *j*), **computes messages** for the source and the destination
- Messages will be used to update the memory

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_i(t) &= \mathrm{msg}\left(\mathbf{s}_i(t^-),\mathbf{s}_j(t^-),t,\mathbf{e}_{ij}(t)
ight), \ \mathbf{m}_j(t) &= \mathrm{msg}\left(\mathbf{s}_j(t^-),\mathbf{s}_i(t^-),t,\mathbf{e}_{ij}(t)
ight) \end{aligned}$$



Messages

Modules: Memory Updater

- Updates memory using new messages

 $\mathbf{s}_i(t) = \mathrm{mem}\left(ar{\mathbf{m}}_i(t), \mathbf{s}_i(t^-)
ight)$



Modules: (Graph) Embedding

- Computes the temporal embedding of a node (which can be then used for prediction) using the graph
- Solves the staleness problem (memory becoming out of date)



TGN: Overview



Learning TGN

- **Problem**: Given a batch, interaction serves both as our training target and as information to update the memory
- If we first update the memory (with the ground truth interactions), and then predict the same interactions, the memory would contain information about what we want to predict
- However, predicting before updating the memory causes all memory-related modules not to receive a gradient
- Solution: Update memory first, but using interactions from previous batch

Learning TGN - Diagram



Scalability

- **Memory** is **not a parameter** and we can just think of it as an additional feature vector for each node which we change over time
- Only memory for nodes involved in a batch is in GPU memory at any time
- Model is as scalable as GraphSage → Can scale to very large graphs (even if we don't show this in the paper)

Experiments

Experiments: Future Edge Prediction

| | Wikipedia | | Reddit | | Twitter | |
|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Transductive | Inductive | Transductive | Inductive | Transductive | Inductive |
| GAE* | 91.44 ± 0.1 | † | 93.23 ± 0.3 | † | | † |
| VAGE* | 91.34 ± 0.3 | † | 92.92 ± 0.2 | † | _ | † |
| DeepWalk* | 90.71 ± 0.6 | † | 83.10 ± 0.5 | † | _ | † |
| Node2Vec* | 91.48 ± 0.3 | † | 84.58 ± 0.5 | † | | † |
| GAT* | 94.73 ± 0.2 | 91.27 ± 0.4 | 97.33 ± 0.2 | 95.37 ± 0.3 | 67.57 ± 0.4 | 62.32 ± 0.5 |
| GraphSAGE* | 93.56 ± 0.3 | 91.09 ± 0.3 | 97.65 ± 0.2 | 96.27 ± 0.2 | 65.79 ± 0.6 | 60.13 ± 0.6 |
| CTDNE | 92.17 ± 0.5 | † | 91.41 ± 0.3 | <u>†</u> | _ | † |
| JODIE | 94.33 ± 0.4 | 91.29 ± 0.5 | 96.36 ± 0.5 | 94.62 ± 0.5 | 62.05 ± 1.0 | 52.72 ± 1.6 |
| TGAT | 95.34 ± 0.1 | 93.99 ± 0.3 | 98.12 ± 0.2 | 96.62 ± 0.3 | 67.84 ± 0.6 | 62.21 ± 0.6 |
| TGN-attn | $\textbf{98.64}\pm0.1$ | $\textbf{98.05}\pm0.1$ | $\textbf{98.80}\pm0.1$ | $\textbf{97.71}\pm0.1$ | $\textbf{93.66} \pm 1.3$ | $\textbf{90.16} \pm 2.4$ |

Experiments: Dynamic Node Classification

| | Wikipedia | Reddit |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| GAE* | 74.85 ± 0.6 | 58.39 ± 0.5 |
| VAGE* | 73.67 ± 0.8 | 57.98 ± 0.6 |
| GAT* | 82.34 ± 0.8 | 64.52 ± 0.5 |
| GraphSAGE* | 82.42 ± 0.7 | 61.24 ± 0.6 |
| CTDNE | 75.89 ± 0.5 | 59.43 ± 0.6 |
| JODIE | 87.17 ± 0.5 | 59.50 ± 2.1 |
| TGAT | 83.69 ± 0.7 | 65.56 ± 0.7 |
| TGN-attn | $\textbf{88.56} \pm 0.3$ | 68.63 ± 0.7 |

Ablation Study

(Future edge prediction)

- Faster and more accurate than other approaches
- **Memory** (*TGN-att* vs *TGN-n-mem*) leads to a **vast improvement** in performance
- **Embedding** module is also extremely **important** (*TGN-attn* vs *TGN-id*) and **graph attention performs best**
- Last message aggregator, while discarding some information, performs extremely well while being very fast (*TGN-attn* vs *TGN-mean*)
- Using the memory makes it enough to have 1 graph attention layer

| | Mem. | Mem. Update | Embedding | Mess. Agg. | Mess. Func. |
|------------|------|-------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|
| JODIE | node | RNN | time | † | id |
| TGAT | | — | attn (21, 20n)* | | — |
| TGN-attn | node | GRU | attn (11, 10n) | last | id |
| TGN-21 | node | GRU | attn (21, 10n) | last | id |
| TGN-no-mem | _ | _ | attn (11, 10n) | _ | id |
| TGN-time | node | GRU | time | last | id |
| TGN-id | node | GRU | id | last | id |
| TGN-sum | node | GRU | sum (11, 10n) | last | id |
| TGN-mean | node | GRU | attn (11, 10n) | mean | id |



Future Work

- Benchmark datasets for dynamic graphs (see OGB)
- Global (graph-wise) memory
- Investigate scalability and propose methods which scale better (possibly combining with literature on graph sampling, but not trivial)
- **Applications**: anomaly detection, molecular pathways, financial transactions, and more?

Conclusion

- Dynamics graphs are very common, but have received little attention so far
- We propose **TGN**, which **generalizes existing models** and achieves **SOTA results** on a variety of benchmarks
- We design an **efficient algorithm for training** the memory-related modules
- The ablation study shows the importance of the different modules

Questions?

